

T-106 2022

Annual Program Report

Program Name: Master of Data Science

Program Code (as per Saudi university ranking): Enter Program Code.

Qualification Level: Master Program

Department: Information Systems

College: Computer Engineering and Sciences

Institution: Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Main Location: Alkharj

Branches offering the Program (if any):

None





Table of Contents

Content	Page
A. Program Statistics	
B. Program Assessment	
Program Learning Outcomes Assessment and analysis according to PLOs assessment plan	
2. Evaluation of Courses	
3. Students Evaluation of Program Quality	
4. Scientific research and innovation during the reporting year	
5. Community Partnership	
6. Other Evaluations (if any).	
C. Program Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)	
D. Challenges and difficulties encountered by the program (if any)	
E. Program development Plan	
F. Approval of Annual Program Report	





A. Program Statistics

Item	Number
Number of students enrolled in the program	13
Number of students who started the program (in reporting year)	11
Number of students who completed the program	11

B. Program Assessment

1. Program Learning Outcomes Assessment and analysis according to PLOs assessment plan *

#	Program Learning Outcomes	Assessment Methods (Direct and Indirect)	Targeted Performance (%)	Assessment Results
Knov	vledge and understand	ing		
K1	Recognize theories of statistics, data visualization, computing, and mathematics appropriate to the data science discipline.	Direct and indirect assessment	70% of students achieve 70% of marks	98.62 %
K2	Explain how the exceptionally enormous amounts of data collected by modern organizations can be used to review, redesign, and improve processes.	Direct and indirect assessment	70% of students achieve 70% of marks	100.00 %
Skills	3			
S1	Analyze existing processes based on interviewing, observation, documentation analysis, and other similar methods.	Direct and indirect assessment	70% of students achieve 70% of marks	98.86 %
S2	Implement knowledge of computing, statistics, and mathematics appropriate to the discipline.	Direct and indirect assessment	70% of students achieve 70% of marks	98.86 %
Value	es, autonomy, and resp	onsibility		



V1	Examine the ethical, professional, and social aspects of Data science and its application.	indirect	70% of students achieve 70% of marks	99.58 %
V2				

^{*}Attach a separate report on the program learning outcomes assessment results for male and female sections and for each branch (**if any**).

Program learning outcomes assessment report results

Strengths:

- The results of the program learning outcomes assessment were outstanding ().
- The achievement of all Program Learning Outcomes exceeds expectation.

Aspects that need improvement with priorities:

• All the PLOs results have exceeded the target.

2. Evaluation of Courses

Course Code	Course Title	Number of Students Who Evaluated the Course	Percentage of Participants	Evaluatio n Results (out of 10)	Developmental Recommendations
CS652	Artificial Intelligence and Deep Learning	4	80%	9.9	This course was GREAT there is no need to improve it, it was comprehensive, consistent and easy to read
IS631	Data Visualization	8	73%	9.22	new concept, it would be better to focus on another c
IS655	Web and Cloud Computing	4	36.4%	7.8	It better to use popular cloud websites.
IS661	Text Mining	8	73%	9.92	Great course
IS641	Capstone Project	11	100%	9.56	Proud of this project it couldn't be better alhamdulillah, I learn a lot during this course my supervisor was helpful.



Course Code	Course Title	Number of Students Who Evaluated the Course	Percentage of Participants	Evaluatio n Results (out of 10)	Developmental Recommendations
IS659	Time Series Analysis and Forecasting	2	18.2%	1.5	This course needs ALOT of improvement, needs recourses, explaining, training, linking to the real worldsThere was no material so it better to select book explain Time series and use tools like python will be good.

3. Students Evaluation of Program Quality

C. Stadents Evaluation of Frogram & de	
Evaluation Date: 2020-2021	Number of Participants: 11
Students Feedback	Program Response
Strengths: • The course surveys result in the indirect assessment was outstanding. Therefore, students were satisfied with almost all the courses. 90% of the students are satisfied with the research supervision in the program.	NA
 Students are satisfied with some courses: it was GREAT, it is clear, it was comprehensive, consistent, and easy to read. Effective communication between students and faculty 	
Areas of Improvement: • Lab and tools	The program will provide full lab equipment and software to the students. Add some practical activities in some courses.
 Suggestions for improvement: In some courses, it must be integrating the practical activities. Add and use tools like python will be good in IS659 Add Tableau Tool in IS631 course 	Python Language lab will be used

4. Scientific research and innovation during the reporting year

Activities Implemented	Number
------------------------	--------



Published scientific research	4
Current research projects	
conferences organized by the	
program	
Seminars held by the program	
Conferences attendees	1
Seminars attendees	1
D: 1 1 1 1 0 1 00	1 11 6 6 6 16

Discussion and analysis of scientific research and innovation activities

Students have started their capstone projects in the second semester 2020-2021, starts written, and published their results in the indexed conferences and journals.

The students with their faculty's members in the master program of data science have published many papers in ISI indexed journals and conferences.

5. Community Partnership

Activities Implemented	Brief Description*	
None	None	
None	None	
Comment on community partnership activities**		
NIA		



^{*} including timing of implementation, number of participants, and outcomes.

6. Other Evaluation (if any)

(e.g., independent reviewer, program advisory committee, and stakeholders (e.g., faculty members, alumni, and employers)

Evaluation method:	Date:	Number of Participants:	
Summary of Evaluator Review			Program Response
Strengths: • Majority of Students are satisfie content and quality of the courses.	d with the	NA	
Points for Improvements: • Offer labs and practical projects in some	e courses.	The program will provide full equipment and software to the stude Add some practical activities in scourses.	



^{**} including overall evaluation of the program's performance in these activities (if any).

Suggestions for development:	
 Intensify the practical and empirical part. 	

C. Program Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)

Including the key performance indicators required by the NCAAA.

No	KPI	Targeted Value	Actual Value	Internal Benchmar k	Analysis	New Target
KPI -PG -1	Percentage of achieved indicators of the program operational plan objectives	75%	95%	70%	NA	95%
KPI -PG -2	Students' Evaluation of quality of learning experience in the program	4	3.75	4	NA	4
KPI - PG- 3	Students' evaluation of the quality of the courses	4.45	3.75	4.5	NA	3.75
KPI - PG- 4	Students' evaluation of the quality of scientific supervision	4.1	4.5	4.1	NA	4.5
KPI - PG- 5	Average time for students' graduation	4	4	4	NA	4
KPI - PG- 6	Rate of students dropping out of the program	0%	0%	15.3%	NA	0%
KPI - PG- 7	Graduates' employability	73%	90%	73%	NA	90%



^{*} Attach independent reviewer's report and stakeholders' survey reports (if any).

KPI - PG- 8	Employers' evaluation of the program graduates' competency	4.9	3.75	4.9	NA	4.5
KPI -PG -9	Students' satisfaction with the provided services	4	4	4	NA	4
KPI -PG -10	Ratio of students to faculty members	1.3:1	1:1	1.5:1	NA	1:1
KPI -PG -11	Percentage of faculty members' distribution based on academic ranking	Prof: 0% Assoc.: 20% Assist: 80% Male: 60% Female: 40%	Prof: 22.9% Assoc.: 43.8% Assist: 33.3% Male: 66.7% Female: 33.3%	Prof: 0% Assoc.: 28.5% Assist: 71% Male: 71.4% Female: 28.5%	NA	Prof: 22.9% Assoc.: 43.8% Assist: 33.3% Male: 50% Female: 50%
KPI -PG -12	Proportion of faculty members leaving the program	28.6%	0%	28.6%	NA	0%
KPI -PG -13	Satisfaction of beneficiaries with learning resources	2.3	3.75	2.3	NA	3.75
KPI -PG -14	Satisfaction of beneficiaries with research facilities and equipment	3	3.75	3	NA	4
KPI -PG -15	Percentage of publications of faculty members	100%	75%	100%	NA	95%



KPI -PG -16	Rate of published research per faculty member	5:1	2:1	1.4:1	NA	3:1
KPI -PG -17	Citations rate in refereed journals per faculty member	20:1	25:1	19.5:1	NA	25:1
KPI -PG -18	Percentage of students' publication	45.4%	10%	45%	NA	50%
KPI -PG -19	Number of patents, innovative products, and awards of excellence	1	1	0	NA	1

Comments on the Program KPIs and Benchmarks results:

D. Challenges and difficulties encountered by the program (if any)

Teaching	Offer labs and practical projects. Provide labs with good equipment. Offer labs and students with course materials (Slides)	
Assessment	No difficulties.	
Guidance and counseling	No difficulties.	
Learning Resources Learning Resources Intensify the empirical and practical part. Students suggested learning the lab sess have the practical projects. Students propose to add and integrate differential learning tools and techniques related to Discience like: R language, tableau, Mongol		
faculty	None.	



Research Activities	No difficulties.
Others	

E. Program development Plan

No.	Priorities for Improvement	Actions	Action Responsibility	
1	Lab	Research lab	Program and chairman	
2	Tools	Python, R	Program and chairman	
3	Staffing	Prof and associate professors	Faculties Polarization committee.	
4				

Attach any unachieved improvement plans from previous report.

The annual program report needs to be discussed in department council

F. Approval of Annual Program Report

COUNCIL / COMMITTEE	16
REFERENCE NO.	Number 16 dated 15/06/2021
DATE:	15/06/2021

